Journal of Green Engineering (JGE)

Volume-10, Issue-12, December 2020

Impact Of Electrical Energy Consumption and Occupancy in University Building

[1]I.S.A. Razak and [2]C.S. Tan

[1]College of Graduate Studies, Universiti Tenaga Nasional ,Jalan Ikram-Uniten, Kajang Selangor, Malaysia.E-Mail: idalia.shariena@uniten.edu.my
[2]Institute of Energy Policy and Research, UniversitiTenaga Nasional,Jalan Ikram-Uniten,Selangor,Malaysia.
E-mail: chingsin@uniten.edu.my

Abstract

In Malaysian universities, energy wastage tends to occur mainly due to inefficient use of energy and lack of information among institutions occupancy. Most of the energy consumption is contributed from the operation of HVAC and lighting system. However, the energy consumption remains the same during final exam week and semester break even though the occupancy in the college is low. It is mainly due to the lack of ignorance and awareness in managing the use of electrical energy in dwellings. Connected with the hike in electricity bills to the University, therefore it is important that swift actions can be taken to reduce the bills via energy efficiency measures. This paper highlights preliminary results of the electrical energy consumption for 3 blocks in College of Engineering (COE), Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN). This paper also identifies potential areas of energy saving in those blocks. Finally, suggestions on the ways to improve electrical energy usage in those buildings. This study indicates that by implementing no cost initiatives into COE buildings, the university can potentially save up to 11.9% of its monthly electrical bills by switching off lightings for one hour.

Keywords: Electrical Energy Consumption, University Building, Energy Saving, Energy Efficiency, Electricity bills.

Journal of Green Engineering, Vol. 10_12, 13337-13348.

1 Introduction

The lifeblood of modern societies is fuel, One of the important energies in human daily life nowadays is electricity. The world's energy the use associated CO2 emissions have escalated fast in recent years due to the population and comfort demand increases of citizense [1]. Study by [2] indicates that monetarism (i.e. GDP) and the population are the key problems that determine an increase in energy demand. In truth, initiatives towards a sustainable university in Malaysia are bound by being that, including the lack of transparency between and between advocates and key constituencies and the scarcity and a need for natural issues on the ground [3]. There are five major factors identified which affect the energy consumption in a building, there are equipment (include the number of split unit air-conditioners, lighting and electrical appliances in use), outside temperature, people, operating hour and building structure [4, 5]. Generally, electrical energy consumption when more air conditioners and displays are turning on and more electrical devices are in use, everything increases. Nevertheless, energy wastage due to poor occupants' behaviour will increase energy consumption unnecessarily.

One of the primary function of building is to provide an acceptable indoor environment, which allows occupants to carry out various activities in comfortable environment. University building is one of commercial buildings that consume high energy since the area of university is large. Study in [6] revealed that 93% of the energy consumption in the schools was electricity. University buildings activities such as classrooms, libraries, offices, business centre, libraries, cafeterias, sports gyms are marked by a very high multiplicity of activities, lecture hall and etc. with various occupancy profiles. Increase numbers of activities in the lecture hall and classroom will definitely use more energy in the building while undergoing teaching and learning in any university. Meanwhile, university which has alternating schedules of semester and semester break (holidays), may have some saving in electrical energy consumption if the implementing energy efficiency measure can be carried out.

As highlighted in [7], study shows that energy performance monitoring is important for an effective energy management system such as occupants' activities and behaviour towards electrical energy consumption because it will help to understand better the the administrative conduct of the building under particular scenarios and helps to discover undesired power usage under particular circumstances. However, study in [8] indicated that improving and implementing building environmental sustainability is one of the best approaches to reducing university building power usage while keeping the comfort and well-being of building occupants. Implementing energy efficiency can helps in increasing lighting output, providing a comfortable temperature, reduce personal, limiting electricity overreliance, and maintaining ventilation and indoor air quality. The study highlighted that effective energy management practices are necessary and awareness program it's crucial to minimize energy consumption and to use energy wisely and efficiently. This will help solve the administrative weaknesses of buildings and, in the immediate future, rising their energy costs.

In term of energy saving, study in [9-12] identified there are two energy-saving strategies for university buildings namely, technical solutions and operational solutions Technical solutionsinclude enhancing building envelope, purchase high-efficiency machines such as heating, lighting and ventilation (HVAC) frameworks and the use of efficient electrical equipment and the use of passive building technology are demonstrated in the following fuel cells. While operational solutions are control strategies on mechanical and electrical (M&E) equipment and occupant behavioural change towards energy saving including formerly composed of non energy saving campaigns are publically available, energy codes, legislative action and other supporting elements [12]. Among these two solutions, the operation-focused solutions are more suitable and practical for due mainly to the decreased capacity of staff and students for energy saving, university buildings may not be specifically responsible for lowering energy usage for university members. It is also observable that operational solutions are more cost-effective than computational solutions[13].

Energy efficiency it plays an important role in energy and climate approaches helping to reduce or eliminate greenhouse gas emissions [14]. One of the benefits of energy efficiency for a building is it helps to improve building operation and occupant comfort. It can be achieved by optimize electrical energy usage in the university area. There are in effort to expand sustainability in construction, many technology and other solutions exist. This can be seen in the diverse programs being formed around the world of green building. New club, facilitation and verdict to use current and innovative products are the biggest challenges to achieving sustainability.

Therefore, energy efficiency and conservation implementation is one of a university's effective and fast solutions for combating energy consumption. The study[15] highlighted that the regulation of efficiency and energy conservation can be audited by purposes of an energy audit. An energy audit is the hallmark to a consistent review to energy decision making management. In accordance total energy input with electricity consumption, the primary purpose of an energy audit is to determine all of the energy production in a network. The stated function of the energy audit is to accomplish an energy audit to understand about current status of the building, its systems and indoor climate, the investments that will be needed to implement the procedures, and how profitable they will be. Generally it is possible to define the energy audit into a preliminary audit and a complete audit. There are three types of audits, however according ASHRAE (American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers) regulations. Your walk-through overview of Level 1 is and also known as preliminary Scrutinizing. The preliminary energy audit is a fairly rapid exercise, estimates the ability for saving using available or easily obtained data, and helps identify areas for a more complete evaluation. Level 2 is more specifics on the building survey, carbon emissions breakdown, and assessment and financial analysis including its energy survey and analysis can be included proposed energy efficiency measures. Level 3 are detailed of capital intensive modifications, which involve more field trials research, quantitative engineering analysis, and guesstimates of earnings with a high level of accuracy.

Thus, this paper analyses the historical of electrical energy consumption and its relationship with the number of occupancy in COE buildings for each academic calendar. This paper presents a simple impact study with no cost imitative and calculates the potential electrical energy consumption saving that it can achieve. Finally, electrical energy saving measures and strategies are recommended in this paper.

2 Methodology

This research study focuses on the College of Engineering (COE). COE consists of three (3) building blocks namely, BL, BM and BN. Each building block had four floors. BL is the building used for laboratories and support staff offices. The building mainly equipped with computers and all electrical and mechanical machines for engineering students laboratories works. Meanwhile, teaching and learning are the main activities in BM block, it consist of lecture halls, classrooms with varies room capacities and free & easy corner for student to hang out. Finally, BN block is where all the academic staff and support staff offices are located between 1st floor and 3rd floor and 4th floor is mainly used for classrooms.

The historical electrical energy consumption data and students occupancy were extracted, collected and gathered for these 3 blocks (BL, BM and BN) between year 2016 and 2018. These data was obtained from UNITEN's Facility Development & Management (FDM) department and COE's Online Portal. Energy audit level 1 was carried out in this study. It involved preliminary energy use analysis, walk through survey and identification of no cost recommendations. A brief action plan is proposed to FDM for their action. Figure 1 show the process flow chart in carrying out energy audit level 1.



Figure 1: Process flows of energy saving in COE building, UNITEN

Tariff type C2 is applies in COE building which is based on the Time-of-Use (ToU) tariff as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Tariff C2 and ToU Period.

Description	Unit	Tariff Rates	Period
For each kilowatt of maximum demand per month during the peak period	RM/kW	45.10	8am-10pm (14 hours)
For all kWh during the peak period	sen/kWh	36.50	8am-10pm (14 hours)
For all kWh during the off-peak period	sen/kWh	22.40	10pm-8am (10 hours)

The percentage of electrical energy saving will be calculated using (1) as below,

$$ElectricalEnergySaving(\%) = \frac{(NormalOperationBill - NocostinitiativeOperationBill)}{NormalOperationBill} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

The potential consumption of electrical energy in this blog post saving is determined from the no cost initiatives. In other words, energy conservation measures on lightings and its impact is analysed and discussed for COE buildings. Others electrical energy consumption are not discussed and evaluated in this paper. Assumptions used in this impact study are tabulated in Table 2. The potential electrical energy saving calculation is purely based on electrical energy consumption (kWh) and does not include other charges such as 6% service tax, maximum demand charges, power factor surcharge and 1.6% Renewable Energy Fund. It is also assumed that during weekend, there is a minimum electrical energy consumption (i.e., off-peak period) in all common areas and blocks such as in the corridors. For no cost operation, it is assumed that lightings are switch off for an hour during break between 1pm and 2pm and all staff leave their office after 6pm.

Description		Current Operation		No Cost Operation	
		Peak Period	Off-Peak Period	Peak Period	Off-Peak Period
Duration of Electrical Consumption		8am-10pm	10pm-8am	8am-10pm	10pm-8am
No. of Days		22	30	22	30
T5 Light Tube (14Watt)	BL	1890 units	480 units	1755 units	480 units
	BM	1578 units	480 units	1465 units	480 units
	BN	3334 units	1500 units	3044 units	1500 units

Table 2: Electrical Energy Appliances Assumptions

3 Analysis and Discussion

Figure 2 shows COE building blocks students' occupancy between year 2016 and 2018. It indicates that the students' occupancy in COE building blocks is depending on UNITEN's academic calendar. Figure 2 shows that during the month of January, May and September each year, these three blocks have a low students' occupancy and during this period, these blocks are occupied by existing power contractors and academic staff who are in the buildings through permanent office space.



	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
2016	200	1,670	1,670	1,670	200	11,409	11,409	11,409	200	11,508	11,508	11,508
2017	200	1,656	1,656	1,656	200	10,253	10,253	10,253	200	11,231	11,231	11,231
2018	200	934	934	934	200	9,780	9,780	9,780	200	12,149	12,149	12,149

Figure 2:COE Students Occupancy data

The peak period of students' occupancy in these blocks can be observed in the first and second semesters of the university's academic calendar which running between June and August and between October and December respectively. This indirectly indicates that during these periods, these blocks are used by students to attend their classes and the usage of electrical energy consumption particularly lightings and HVAC maybe high.

Figure 3 shows the historical electrical energy consumption between 2016 and 2018. It is logical to assume that, more rooms are occupied will tend to increase electrical energy consumption in the building. In other words, more students or staff activities will increase electrical energy consumption despite that the use of computer wiring such as PCs, panels, projectors, lighting and ventilation flight controls. However, it can be observed that the monthly electrical energy consumption patterns in COE building blocks throughout the years ranging between 140,337kWh and 238,514kWh irrespective to the students occupancy and university's academic calendar. This shows that even with alternating schedules of semester and semester break (holidays), there is no energy management in COE building blocks and energy was wasted despite low student's occupancy in all three blocks.



	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
2016	140,94	161,76	185,41	159,81	152,51	180,42	163,71	175,46	182,63	196,65	201,68	195,34
2017	194,87	157,14	166,79	187,08	181,83	212,86	165,52	196,57	165,08	147,73	193,28	165,30
2018	199,53	140,33	238,51	167,01	158,62	162,63	199,01	189,65	149,18	181,61	179,07	166,80

Figure 3:COE Electrical Energy Consumption

Figure 3 also indicates that, from February to April each year between 2016 and 2018, when the teaching and learning activities are lesser in COE building blocks, HVAC, lightings and other electrical appliances was operating like in a normal semester. No reduction in energy consumption is reported in that period. In 2016, the minimum and maximum electrical energy consumption were reported to be 140,945 kWh (January) and 201,687 kWh (November) respectively.

Based on Figure 3, June 2017 recorded the highest electrical energy consumption at 212,862 kWh and lowest electrical energy consumption are October at 157,148 kWh. However, in 2018, March recorded the highest electrical energy consumption at 238,514 kWh during the special semester and lowest in February at 140,337 kWh. This shown that COE building does not carry out any efficient energy management to save electrical energy consumption between 2016 and 2018. This study indicates that there is no clear relation between occupancy and electrical energy consumption in COE building in any semester.

Moreover the energy audit conducted in the rooms and by locations indicate that some of the illuminance measured are either too low or too high as indicated in Table 3. Lighting performance in COE gave various illuminance results. This indicates that the lighting in the rooms and by locations has not been following the MS1525 or JKR guidelines. Low illuminance measured is due to some light bulbs are burnt out but yet to be replaced. Meanwhile high illuminance measured is due to more lightings are fitted in a room. Most lightings are operating between 7am and 10pm.

Meanwhile HVAC in COE particularly BN building is operating automatically between 6am and 8pm for 14 hours on weekdays. However it is not operate during weekend and public holidays as the occupancy is low. The best way to ensure fuel savings in HVAC is to make modifications to on the setting so the starting/finishing time of HVAC system as per operating hours in COE depending to university's academic calendar.

Table 3: Lights Illuminance in COE Building Blocks

Room/Location	IES standard, MS1525 and JKR Guidelines illuminance (Lux)	Illuminance Measured (Lux)
Lecturer Room	150	100 - 700
Dean Room	150	200 – 300
Admin office	150	200
Lounge	100	432
Meeting Room	200	90 - 170
Pantry	100	70 - 200
Corridor/Passageway	100	100 – 400
Toilet	100 -150	100 – 300
Classroom	300 – 500	100 – 800
Lecture hall	300 – 500	300
Laboratory	250 - 300	200 - 500
Entrance halls/ Lobby/ Waiting halls	100 - 150	281

Table 4 indicates that the potential electrical energy saving can be achieved just by adopting a simple 'switch-off' action when the lightings are not in used for one hour is around 11.9%. Thus, this study shows that adopting simple energy conservation measures can help to reduce monthly electricity bill.

Table 4: Potential Electrical Energy Saving with No Cost Initiatives

	Current Operation		No Cost Operation	
	Peak Period	Off-Peak Period	Peak Period	Off-Peak Period
Total Electrical Energy Consumption (kWh)	29,331.46	10,332	25,081	10,332
Monthly Bill (RM)	10,705.98	2,314.37	9,154.59	2,314.37
Month Bill (Peak +Off-Peak) (RM)	13,020.35		11,468.95	
Potential Electrical Energy Saving (%)	11.9%			

Therefore, no cost initiatives are more practical to be implemented in the university building if budget is an issue for operation team to implement energy efficiency action plan. From this study, there are several targeted places that can make big changes in the electrical energy consumption bill of COE buildings. Table 5 lists the proposed initiatives on the potential electrical energy saving strategies that can be implemented and some of them have been implemented in the COE building.

Table 5: Initiative of energy saving strategies.

Targeted Place	Current Observations	No Cost Initiatives
Laboratories	1. Lights were leave on after the laboratory session. 2. Some of the lights were on when there are no laboratory session 3. LCD projector and computer was in sleep mode when there are no laboratory session 4. Split unit air-conditioner was leave on when students are leaving the laboratory	1. Switch off lights and all equipment when leaving the laboratory 2. Switch off lights when there are no laboratory sessions 3. Switch-off LCD projectors as well as computer when a class finishes 4. Switch-off split unit air-conditioners when leaving the laboratory
Lecture Hall and lecture room	1. Split unit air-conditioner was leave on when student are leaving the class 2. LCD projector and computer was in sleep mode when there are no class 3. Lighting were leave on when students are living the class	1. Switch-off spilt unit air-conditioners when leaving the class 2. Switch-off LCD projectors as well as computer when a class finishes 3. Switch off lights when leaving the class
Support staff and academic staff Office	1. Lights and computer were on when leaving the office 2. Lights were on during lunch hour and computer is on standby mode 3. Split unit air conditioner were on when no one in the office 4. All of the equipment and unnecessary light were on during lunch hour	1. Switch off lights and computer when leaving the office 2. Switch off lights and computer during lunch hour 3. Switch off split unit air conditioner when leaving the office 4. Switch off all unnecessary lights and equipment during lunch hour

Apart from switching off lightings, there are also others no cost initiative that can help to bring enormous energy saving potential in a university building. For example, changing the occupancy behaviour can also lead to savings; provide awareness and competition among departments by setting goals and targets for monthly electrical energy usage and give an incentives and rewards for the winning department. Furthermore, academic, support staff and students can participate in energy management program that involve energy efficiency awareness campaign such as place a posters, reminder, stickers and signage in laboratories, offices, lecture halls, rooms for classrooms and other similar facilities

4 Conclusion

This study indicates that electrical energy consumption within COE buildings depend on the disposition of customers. For example, after a lecture finishes, turning off the AV equipment and lights, shutting down the PCs and monitors while not in use would help to lessen the building's electrical carbon output. Neither of the of these can be actually achieved by raising insight by good training and strategy besides energy management. Apart from the no cost initiative highlighted in this study, further energy saving can also be achieved if a continuous energy saving monitoring is implemented. Implementing others no cost initiative such as setting buildings temperature at 24 degree Celsius, reduce run-time of air handling units based on the class timetable and switch off split unit air conditioner when not in use will help in reducing energy consumption. The analysis indicates that the potential electrical energy consumption saving can be achieved with no cost initiative is 11.9% by switching off lights for one hour. Nevertheless, in addition to assessing the potential for pragmatic efficiency gains with more concrete resource management and conservation approaches, systematic research is being carried out

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful and appreciative to UNITEN’s FDM officer for sharing data on COE electricity bills information for the study. This research work is supported and fundedbyUniversiti Tenaga Nasional (Innovation and Research Management Centre, iRMC). Any of the opinion, findings and conclusions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the view of Universiti Tenaga Nasional or the Institute.

References

[1] KadirAmasyali, Nora El-Gohary. "Building Lighting Energy Consumption Prediction for Supporting Energy Data Analytics", Procedia Engineering, Vol. 145, pp. 511-517, 2016.

[2]Kalai Vani. M Dinesh Akshay Kumar.R, "Pounding Response Of Building sunder Groung Motion",International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology (IRJMRS),Vol.2,no.11,pp.428-434,2017.

[3] S.Kavitha, R.Mahalakshmi, B.Chinthamani,"Improvement of Power Quality in Grid Connected Photovoltaic and Wind Energy System", journal of green engineering, Vol.10,no.8,pp. 4405–4414 ,2020.

[4] Mohamed M. Ouf, Mohamed H. Issa. "Energy consumption analysis of school buildings in Manitoba, Canada", International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment, Vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 359-371, 2017.

[5] KwonsikSonga, Nahyun Kwon, Kyle Anderson, Moonseo Park, Hyun-Soo Lee, SangHyun Lee. "Predicting hourly energy consumption in buildings usingoccupancy-related characteristics of end-user groups", Energy and Buildings, Vol. 156, pp. 121-133, 2017.

[6] J. C. Wang. "A study on the energy performance of school buildings in Taiwan", Energy and Buildings, Vol. 133, pp. 810 – 822, 2016.

[7] Khuram Pervez Amber, Muhammad Waqar Aslam, Anzar Mahmood, AnilaKousar, Muhammad YaminYounis, Bilal Akbar, GulamQadar Chaudhary, Syad Kashif Hussain. "Energy Consumption Forecasting for University Sector Building", Energies, Vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 1-18, 2017.

[8] Li X, Wen J., "Review of building energy modeling for control and operation", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Vol. 37, pp. 517-537, 2014.

[9] Ng Sock Yen, Elia Syarafina Abdul Shakur, Choong Weng Wai. "Energy Conservation Opportunities in Malaysian Universities", Malaysian Journal of Real Estate, Vol. 5, no.1, pp. 26-35, 2010.

[10]Antonio Paone, Jean-Philippe Bacher. "The Impact of Building Occupant Behavior on Energy Efficiency and Methods to Influence It: A Review of the State of the Art", Energies, Vol. 11, no.4, pp. 1-19, 2018.

[11]RakibaRayhana, Md. Asif Uddin Khan, Tahsin Hassan, Ratan Datta, A Hasib Chowdhury. "Electric and Lighting Energy Audit: A Case Study of Selective Commercial Buildings in Dhaka", IEEE International WIE Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (WIECON-ECE), pp. 301-304, 2015.

[12]Kwonsik Song, Sooyoung Kim, Moonseo Park, Hyun-Soo Lee. "Energy efficiency-based course timetabling for university buildings", Energy, Vol. 139, pp. 394-405, 2017.

[13]MS Sankar, M Gowthami, A Saranya, S Sathiyapriya, "Design Of Internet Of Things Based Smart Energy Meter Using Embedded Technology And Android Application", International Journal Of Innovations In Scientific And Engineering Research (IJISER),Vol.4,no.2,pp.57-62,2017.
[14]Romani Z, Draoui A, Allard F., “Metamodeling the heating and cooling energy needs and simultaneous building envelope optimization for low energy building design in Morocco”, Energy Build, Vol. 102, pp. 139-148, 2015.
[15]Gustafsson M, Dermentzis G, Myhrenc JA, Bales C, Ochs F, Holmberg S, “Energy performance comparison of three innovative HVAC systems for renovation through dynamic simulation”, Energy Build, Vol. 82, pp. 512-519, 2014.

Biographies

I.S.A. Razak, College of Graduate Studies, UniversitiTenaga Nasional ,Jalan Ikram-Uniten, Kajang Selangor, Malaysia.

C.S. Tan, Institute of Energy Policy and Research, Universiti Tenaga Nasional,Jalan Ikram-Uniten,Selangor,Malaysia.